

**Proposed Evaluation Plan  
Draft 6/11/07**

<b>Approximate Timeframe</b>	<b>Program Activity/Timeframe</b>	<b>Data Collection Activity</b>
May 15, 2007	241.1 Referral to Pasadena Court (maximum of 25 cases over 2-3 months anticipated—target is all new cases)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Basic case information from joint assessment report</li> <li>➤ Ratings and recommendations from summary and recommendation sheet</li> </ul>
May 15 to August 15, 2007	Identify referrals within the same timeframe from all or limited court locations without the MDT to identify comparison youths (maximum of 25-35 cases anticipated)—comparison cases will be matched on offense seriousness and prior offending	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Basic case information from joint assessment report</li> <li>➤ Ratings and recommendations available from traditional processing report</li> <li>➤ MDT will review the case and determine what how they would have rated the youth and what they would have recommended</li> </ul>
September to November, 2007	Six months after disposition, measure the extent to which recommendations have been fulfilled	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Collect data on placement(s), supervision level(s), family programming, and treatment programming received. To the extent that educational information is available, it will also be recorded.</li> <li>➤ Successful completion of court orders/unsuccessful completion of court orders</li> <li>➤ Recidivism</li> </ul>
Feb/March to May 2008	Months 9 through 12 after disposition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Recidivism</li> <li>➤ Collect data on placement(s), supervision level(s), family programming, and treatment programming received. To the extent that educational information is available, it will also be recorded.</li> <li>➤ Successful completion of court orders/unsuccessful completion of court orders</li> </ul>
May 2008	One year following disposition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Recidivism</li> <li>➤ Collect data on placement(s), supervision level(s), family programming, and treatment programming received. To the extent that educational information is available, it will also be recorded.</li> <li>➤ Successful completion of court orders/unsuccessful completion of court orders</li> </ul>

## Summary of 241.1 MDT Pilot Program Outcomes

#	Proposed Outcome by Domain	Possible in Current Study
<b>1.00</b>	<b>Individual/Peer Related Outcomes</b>	
1.1	Reduction in recidivism	X
1.2	Increase in prosocial associations and activities (employment, volunteer participation)	
1.3	Reduction in association with delinquent peers	
1.4	Increase in association with prosocial peers	
1.5	Decrease in the use of and days spent in inpatient hospitalization	X
1.6	Decrease in the use of and days spent in juvenile hall	X
1.7	Reduce dysfunctional behaviors (self-injurious)	Possible
1.8	Reduce substance abuse	Possible
1.9	Decrease mental health symptoms	Possible
1.10	Improve school performance	
1.11	Improve school attendance	
1.12	Improve behavior at school	
1.13	Improve performance in treatment	
1.14	Improve attendance in treatment	
1.15	Improve behavior in treatment	
1.16	Decrease number of irregular treatment service changes	Possible
1.17	Improve behavior in placement	
1.18	Decrease number of irregular placement changes	X
1.19	Improve desistance from crime in adulthood	
1.20	Improve successful completion of probation conditions (incl. restitution, community service)	X
<b>2.00</b>	<b>Family Related Outcomes</b>	
2.1	Improve family functioning	
2.2	Decrease time to permanency	
<b>3.00</b>	<b>School Related Outcomes</b>	
3.1	Decreased time to complete IAP	
3.2	Improvement in achieving IAP recommendations	
3.3	Improve educational transitional planning and follow-up	
3.4	Improve access to educational information	
3.5	Increase the use of appropriate educational planning	
3.6	Decrease the number of irregular school changes	

**Summary of 241.1 MDT Pilot Program Outcomes (Draft 2/7/07), Continued**

#	Proposed Outcome by Domain	Possible in Current Study
<b>4.00</b>	<b>System Related Outcomes</b>	
4.1	<u>Outcome Related Outcomes</u>	
4.1-1	Decrease 602 outcomes	X
4.1-2	Increase dismissals and informal probation outcomes	X
4.1-3	Improve access to appropriate screening and assessment processes for substance abuse	
4.1-4	Improve access to appropriate substance abuse treatment services	X
4.1-5	Increase in the capacity for services & placements	
4.1-6	Improve use of appropriate levels of probation supervision based on risk level	X
4.1-7	Improve access to appropriate services and placements for youths and families	
4.1-8	Increase the use of lower levels of care	X
4.1-9	Reduce the number of offenses occurring in group homes	
4.1-10	Improve social worker's and PO's ability to identify & access services/placements	
4.2	<u>Process Related Outcomes</u>	
4.2-1	Document Team and Court's adherence to outcome guidelines	
4.2-2	Document court's likelihood of following Team's recommendation	
4.2-3	Measure the extent of attorney participation in court hearing	
4.2-4	Measure the extent to which case plan recommendations were followed	
4.2-5	Measure the extent to which recommended services/placements were received	
4.2-6	Reduce time required to access recommended services/placements	
4.2-7	Improve interagency communication and coordination	
<b>5.00</b>	<b>Research-Related Questions</b>	
5.1	What is the cost-effectiveness of MDT (role of Title 4E)?	
5.2	Which offenders are getting which dispositions (i.e., by demographics and characteristics)	X
5.3	Impact of attorney type on receiving a DMH evaluation? On Disposition?	X
5.4	What is the impact of MDT recommendations on disposition?	X
5.5	What is the impact of the MDT on net widening (occurring and under what conditions)?	X
5.6	What is the impact of DMH (including the role of substance abuse) evaluations on outcome?	
5.7	How should the lead agency for 300/602 suitable placements be handled?	
5.8	What types of services are youths receiving v. what types of services do they need?	X
5.9	How long does it take to receive response from attorneys on DMH evaluation and what types of responses are most likely to be received from these attorneys?	X